

1: J Gynecol Surg. 1991 Summer;7(2):67-82.

Reproductive surgery vs assisted reproductive technologies: selecting the correct alternative.

Silverberg KM, Hill GA.

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The University of Texas Health Center at San Antonio.

Many innovations have been made in advanced reproductive technologies (ART) over the past several years. These procedures now yield pregnancy rates of over 20% per cycle, rates that compare favorably to many types of reproductive surgery. Therefore, ART now represents a viable alternative for many patients suffering from infertility. As these pregnancy rates continue to rise, gynecologists will have to choose between ART and reproductive surgery for a larger number of patients. This article reviews the commonly performed reproductive surgical procedures and compares expected postoperative pregnancy rates to those of ART in order to help the gynecologist make this decision.

PMID: 10149776 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

#### Related Links

Assisted reproductive technology surveillance--United States, 2000. [MMWR Surveill Summ. 2003] PMID:14532867

Reproductive surgery. [Minerva Ginecol. 2005] PMID:15758863

Effectiveness of postoperative adjuvant therapy in improving reproductive outcome of endometriosis-associated infertility. [J Formos Med Assoc. 2001] PMID:11579612

Pregnancy outcomes after assisted reproductive technology. [J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2006] PMID:16650361

Endometriosis and assisted reproductive technologies: are outcomes affected? [Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2001] PMID:11396650